## **SBC Companies**

Network Services LLC filed a letter requesting an adoption under Section 251/252 of the FTA96 of the Interconnection Agreement between Ameritech Wisconsin and Pagenet, Inc.

The attached agreement will be filed with the Commission for approval and will terminate on the same date as the underlying document. The following information is specific to the new agreement:

Effective date of Agreement

Termination date of Agreement March 11, 2001 (term date extended

1 year)

Notice Information (paragraph #): 17.9

Name: William Boxbaom
Title: Vice President
Street Address: 525 South Douglas

City/State/Zip: El Segundo, CA 90245

Telephone #: 310 615-6532

FAX #: 310 615-6581

Network Services LLC	SBC Telecommunications, Inc. as agent for Ameritech Wisconsin
Signature:	Signature:
Name:(Print or Type)	Name:
Title:(Print or Type)	Title: President - Industry Markets
Date:	Date:
AECN/OCN#	

WHEREAS by executing this MFN Agreement providing certain rates, terms and conditions, SWBT reserves all appellate rights with respect to such rates, terms and conditions and does not waive any legal arguments by executing this Agreement. In particular, SWBT notes that on January 25, 1999, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utilities Bd., 525 U.S. 366 (1999) (and on remand, Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC, 219 F.3d 744 (8th Cir. 2000)) and on June 1, 1999, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in Ameritech v. FCC, No. 98-1381, 1999 WL 116994, 1999 Lexis 3671 (1999). In addition, on July 18, 2000, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit issued its opinion in Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC, No. 96-3321, 2000 Lexis 17234, which is the subject of a pending appeal before the Supreme Court. SWBT further acknowledge that on November 5, 1999, the FCC issued its Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 96-96 (FCC 99-238), including the FCC's Supplemental Order issued In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, in CC Docket No. 96-98 (FCC 99-370) (rel. November 24, 1999), which is the subject of a pending request for reconsideration and a pending appeal. It is SWBT's intent and understanding of state and federal law, that any negotiating history, appeal, stay, injunction or similar proceeding which impacts the applicability of such rates, terms or conditions to the underlying Agreement will similarly and simultaneously impact the applicability of such rates, terms and conditions to CLEC under this MFN Agreement. In the event that any of the rates, terms and/or conditions herein, or any of the laws or regulations that were the basis for a provision of the Agreement, are invalidated, modified or stayed by any action of any state or federal regulatory bodies or courts of competent jurisdiction, including but not limited to any decision or proceeding referenced herein, the Parties shall immediately incorporate changes from the underlying Agreement, made as a result of any such action into this Agreement. Where revised language is not immediately available, the Parties shall expend diligent efforts to incorporate the results of any such action into this Agreement on an interim basis, but shall conform this Agreement to the underlying Agreement, once such changes are filed with the Commission

## ICD PROCESS (FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY):

Steps: Request notification

Analysis of data to approve or deny

Short Form completion

Include all approved amendments to underlying agreement with MFN

Put the paper copy of approved amendments on the back of the agreement

Put the electronic files of approved amendments with the MFN in the

Original folder in pending signature – It would be good to number the

files as follows:

01 MFN Short Form (whatever state or states)

02 Interconnection Agreement

03 Amendment 1 (name of amendment)

04 Amendment 2 (name of amendment), etc.

Database inputs

Distribution – paper and electronic

Library

*The AECN & OCN are absolutely required.* 

**NOTE:** If this is a new agreement in this state for:

Covad (could be dba Covad Communications, Covad

**Communications Company or Dieca Communications** 

Inc.), Level 3,

**ICG** 

PaeTec or

another CLEC that MFNed into any of the above mentioned

**CLEC's settlement amendment** 

be sure to include the settlement amendment with this MFN.

(For questions see Elaine, Tanya, Lana or Susan). Take this off before processing.